

ON THE ABEL-JACOBI MAPS OF FERMAT JACOBIANS

NORIYUKI OTSUBO

ABSTRACT. We study the Abel-Jacobi image of the Ceresa cycle $W_k - W_k^-$, where W_k is the image of the k -th symmetric product of a curve X on its Jacobian variety. For the Fermat curve of degree N , we express it in terms of special values of generalized hypergeometric functions and give a criterion for the non-vanishing of $W_k - W_k^-$ modulo algebraic equivalence, which is verified numerically for some N and k .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let A be a smooth projective variety of dimension g over $\mathbb{C}$. The Chow group $\mathrm{CH}_k(A)$ of algebraic cycles of dimension k on A modulo rational equivalence has the subgroups

$$\mathrm{CH}_k(A) \supset \mathrm{CH}_k(A)_{\mathrm{hom}} \supset \mathrm{CH}_k(A)_{\mathrm{alg}}$$

of homologically and algebraically trivial cycles, respectively. Consider the Abel-Jacobi map

$$\Phi_k : \mathrm{CH}_k(A)_{\mathrm{hom}} \rightarrow J_k(A)$$

to the Griffiths intermediate Jacobian, which is a complex torus associated to the Hodge structure $H_{2k+1}(A)(-k)$. Since the image of an algebraically trivial cycle has a certain Hodge-theoretic property, the Abel-Jacobi map gives us a criterion for a homologically trivial cycle not to be algebraically trivial, in other words, non-trivial in the Griffiths group $\mathrm{CH}_k(A)_{\mathrm{hom}} / \mathrm{CH}_k(A)_{\mathrm{alg}}$.

Let X be a smooth projective curve of genus $g \geq 3$ and A be its Jacobian variety. By choosing a base point, X is embedded into A and the k -th symmetric product of X defines an algebraic cycle of dimension k on A , which is historically denoted by W_k . Since the inversion of A acts trivially on the homology groups of even degree, the cycle $W_k - W_k^-$ is homologically trivial. This is called the Ceresa cycle and Ceresa [4] showed that $W_k - W_k^-$ is not algebraically trivial for a generic curve X and $1 \leq k \leq g - 2$. Note that Φ_{g-1} is an isomorphism by the Abel-Jacobi theorem.

Harris [13] defined an invariant called the pointed harmonic volume using Chen's iterated integrals, and showed that it calculates $\Phi_1(W_1 - W_1^-)$. It is not easy, however, to compute the harmonic volume of a given curve. Harris [14] calculated numerically the harmonic volume of the Fermat quartic curve and showed that $W_1 - W_1^-$ is not algebraically trivial. In this case, Bloch [2] showed by an ℓ -adic method that $W_1 - W_1^-$ is even non-torsion modulo algebraic equivalence. For $k > 1$, Faucette introduced the higher harmonic volume [9] and used it to prove that $W_2 - W_2^-$ is not algebraically trivial for a certain finite covering of the Fermat quartic curve [8].

Rather recently, Tadokoro proved similar results as Harris' for the Klein quartic curve [23], which is a quotient of the Fermat septic curve, and for the Fermat sextic curve [24].

Date: March 1, 2010.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 14C25, 33C20, 33C65.

Key words and phrases. Algebraic cycle, Iterated integral, Hypergeometric function.

Moreover, he showed that the iterated integrals on the Fermat curve are expressed by special values of Barnes' generalized hypergeometric function ${}_3F_2$.

In this paper, we generalize the above results of Harris and Tadokoro and calculate the harmonic volume of the Fermat curve of arbitrary degree. Moreover, we reduce the study of $\Phi_k(W_k - W_k^-)$ for any k to the case $k = 1$, and hence to the calculation of the harmonic volume. As a result, we shall prove:

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be the Fermat curve of degree $N \geq 4$, and k be an integer with $1 \leq k \leq g - 2$ where $g = (N - 1)(N - 2)/2$.*

(i) *If*

$$\frac{\Gamma(1 - \frac{h}{N})^4}{\Gamma(1 - \frac{2h}{N})^2} {}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix} \frac{h}{N}, \frac{h}{N}, 1 - \frac{2h}{N} \\ 1, 1 \end{matrix}; 1\right)$$

is not an element of $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_N)$ for some integer h with $0 < h < N/2$, $(h, N) = 1$, then $W_k - W_k^-$ is non-torsion modulo algebraic equivalence.

(ii) *If*

$$k! \cdot 2N^{2k} \sum_{\substack{0 < h < N/2 \\ (h, N) = 1}} \frac{\Gamma(1 - \frac{h}{N})^4}{\Gamma(1 - \frac{2h}{N})^2} {}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix} \frac{h}{N}, \frac{h}{N}, 1 - \frac{2h}{N} \\ 1, 1 \end{matrix}; 1\right)$$

is not a rational integer, then $k!(W_k - W_k^-)$ is non-trivial modulo algebraic equivalence. This is indeed the case for $N \leq 1000$, $k = 1$, or $N \leq 8$ and any k .

Our key ingredients are as follows. First, we extend the coefficients to $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z}[\mu_N]$, so that the cohomology $H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathcal{O}$ has $2g$ linearly independent elements $\{\varphi^{a,b}\}$ which are eigenvectors with respect to a group action. The harmonic volume is a functional on a subgroup $K_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes H_{\mathbb{Z}}^1 \subset \otimes^3 H^1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ with values in $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. We evaluate it at $\varphi^{a_1, b_1} \otimes \varphi^{a_2, b_2} \otimes \varphi^{a_3, b_3}$ satisfying certain assumption. Then, it takes the value in $(\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbb{R})/\mathcal{O}$, which is isomorphic to the direct sum of copies of $\mathbb{C}$ for the infinite places of $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_N)$. The harmonic volume is defined by iterated integrals of length ≤ 2 , and the iterated integral of $\varphi^{a,b}$ coincides with an integral representation of the value at 1 of Barnes' generalized hypergeometric function ${}_3F_2$. This value is also written as a special value of Appell's generalized hypergeometric function F_3 of two variables.

The intermediate Jacobian $J_k(A)$ is regarded as a functional on $\wedge^{2k+1} H^1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ with values in $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. The Abel-Jacobi image $\Phi_k(W_k - W_k^-)$, after multiplied by $k!$, is written as the Pontryagin product of $\Phi_1(W_1 - W_1^-)$ and a cycle class, so we are reduced to the case $k = 1$. We shall take up a special element $\varphi^{a_1, b_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi^{a_{2k+1}, b_{2k+1}}$ to evaluate $k! \cdot \Phi_k(W_k - W_k^-)$. It takes the value in $(\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbb{R})/\mathcal{O}$ and we obtain Theorem 1.1 (i). By taking the trace to $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, we obtain (ii), which can be computed numerically. Since the above element has the Hodge type $(k+2, k-1) + (k-1, k+2)$, the non-vanishing implies that $W_k - W_k^-$ is not algebraically trivial.

Finally, let us mention a connection to number theory. If a curve X is defined over a number field, then so is its Jacobian A and $\text{CH}_k(A)$ is conjectured to be a finitely generated abelian group. The conjecture of Swinnerton-Dyer [22] (generalizing the Birch-Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture) states that

$$\text{rank } \text{CH}_k(A)_{\text{hom}} = \text{ord}_{s=k+1} L(h^{2k+1}(A), s).$$

Here, $L(h^i(A), s)$ is the L -function associated to the i -th cohomological motive of A , which conjecturally is continued analytically to the whole complex plane and satisfies a functional equation with respect to $s \leftrightarrow 2k + 2 - s$. If X is a Fermat curve, then by Weil

[25], $L(h^{2k+1}(A), s) = L(\wedge^{2k+1} h^1(X), s)$ is the product of the L -functions of Jacobi-sum Hecke characters of cyclotomic fields. Therefore, the non-torsionness of $\Phi_k(W_k - W_k^-)$ at an element of $\wedge^{2k+1} H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathcal{O}$ should be related with the vanishing of the corresponding L -function at $s = k + 1$, and our result suggests a connection between hypergeometric values and the Jacobi-sum Hecke L -functions. Such a connection is also found in [18], where the Beilinson regulator is written in terms of similar hypergeometric values.

This paper is organized as follows. In §2, we recall the Abel-Jacobi map, the pointed harmonic volume, and Harris' theorem as reworked by Pulte. In §3, after introducing the Pontryagin product, we reduce the calculation of the Abel-Jacobi image of the Ceresa cycle to the case $k = 1$. In §4, we calculate the iterated integrals and the harmonic volume of the Fermat curve, and express them as special values of generalized hypergeometric functions. Finally, we evaluate the Abel-Jacobi image at a special element and prove Theorem 1.1.

The author would like to thank Tomohide Terasoma for his suggestion to read the works of Harris and Tadokoro. I am also grateful to Seidai Yasuda and Yuuki Tadokoro for their valuable comments.

2. ABEL-JACOBI MAPS AND HARMONIC VOLUME

In this section, we first recall the necessary materials on the Griffiths intermediate Jacobians and the Abel-Jacobi maps. Then, we introduce iterated integrals and the extension of mixed Hodge structures associated to the fundamental group, which is the most natural way due to Pulte to introduce Harris' pointed harmonic volume.

2.1. Abel-Jacobi maps. Recall [7] that a *Hodge structure* of weight w is a $\mathbb{Z}$ -module $H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ of finite rank equipped with a finite descending filtration $F^{\bullet} H_{\mathbb{C}}$ on $H_{\mathbb{C}} := H_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$ such that $H_{\mathbb{C}} \simeq \bigoplus_{p+q=w} H^{p,q}$ with $H^{p,q} = F^p H_{\mathbb{C}} \cap \overline{F^q H_{\mathbb{C}}}$. If X is a smooth projective variety over $\mathbb{C}$, the cohomology group $H^n(X, \mathbb{Z})$ underlies a Hodge structure of weight n , which we denote by $H^n(X)$. Let $\mathbb{Z}$ denote the Hodge structure of weight 0 with $H_{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{Z}$, $H_{\mathbb{C}} = H^{0,0}$. For a Hodge structure H of weight w , we denote by $H(r)$ the Hodge structure of weight $w - 2r$ with the same $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice $H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and the shifted filtration $F^p H(r)_{\mathbb{C}} = F^{p+r} H_{\mathbb{C}}$.

If H is a Hodge structure of weight -1 , then

$$JH := H_{\mathbb{C}} / (F^0 H_{\mathbb{C}} + H_{\mathbb{Z}})$$

defines a complex torus, and this construction is functorial in H . In particular, for a smooth projective variety X over $\mathbb{C}$, $H_{2k+1}(X)(-k)$ is a Hodge structure of weight -1 , and the associated complex torus

$$J_k(X) := JH_{2k+1}(X)(-k)$$

is the k -th *intermediate Jacobian* of Griffiths. Since

$$H_{2k+1}(X, \mathbb{C}) / F^{-k} H_{2k+1}(X, \mathbb{C}) \simeq (F^{k+1} H^{2k+1}(X, \mathbb{C}))^*$$

where $*$ denotes the $\mathbb{C}$ -linear dual, we have an identification

$$J_k(X) \simeq (F^{k+1} H^{2k+1}(X, \mathbb{C}))^* / H_{2k+1}(X, \mathbb{Z}). \quad (2.1)$$

By the Poincaré duality, we have also

$$J_k(X) \simeq H^{2d-2k-1}(X, \mathbb{C}) / (F^{d-k} H^{2d-2k-1}(X, \mathbb{C}) + H^{2d-2k-1}(X, \mathbb{Z}))$$

which is the original definition of $J^{d-k}(X)$ by Griffiths [10].

For a Hodge structure of weight -1 , put

$$J_{\mathbb{R}} H = H_{\mathbb{R}} / H_{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Then the inclusion $H_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{C}}$ induces an isomorphism

$$J_{\mathbb{R}}H \xrightarrow{\sim} JH$$

of real Lie groups. Its inverse is induced by

$$H_{\mathbb{C}} = F^0 H_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \overline{F^0 H_{\mathbb{C}}} \rightarrow \overline{F^0 H_{\mathbb{C}}} \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{R}}$$

where the first map is the projection and the second map sends α to $\alpha + \overline{\alpha}$. Therefore, for a Hodge structure H of weight 1, we have an isomorphism of real tori

$$\mathrm{Hom}(H_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \simeq J \mathrm{Hom}(H, \mathbb{Z}).$$

In particular, we have

$$J_k(X) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(H^{2k+1}(X, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}). \quad (2.2)$$

Let Z be an algebraic cycle on X of dimension k . Then the cycle class map

$$\mathrm{cl}_k: \mathrm{CH}_k(X) \rightarrow H_{2k}(X, \mathbb{Z})$$

defines an element of

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, H_{2k}(-k)) = H_{2k}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cap F^{-k} H_{2k}(X, \mathbb{C})$$

where Hom means the homomorphisms of Hodge structures. Let $\mathrm{CH}_k(X)_{\mathrm{hom}}$ denote the kernel of cl_k . If $\mathrm{cl}_k(Z) = 0$, then there exists a topological $(2k+1)$ -chain W with $\partial W = Z$. The functional $\eta \mapsto \int_W \eta$ on $F^{k+1} H^{2k+1}(X, \mathbb{C})$ is well-defined up to periods. By the identification (2.1), the *Abel-Jacobi map* of dimension k

$$\Phi_k: \mathrm{CH}_k(X)_{\mathrm{hom}} \rightarrow J_k(X)$$

is defined. If $k = \dim(X) - 1$, $J_k(X)$ is nothing but the Picard variety of X and Φ_k is an isomorphism by the classical Abel-Jacobi theorem.

Let $\mathrm{CH}_k(X)_{\mathrm{alg}} \subset \mathrm{CH}_k(X)_{\mathrm{hom}}$ be the subgroup of algebraically trivial cycles, i.e. those cycles obtained as $p_*(\Gamma \cdot q^* D)$ where D is a divisor of degree 0 on a curve C , Γ is an algebraic $(k+1)$ -cycle on $X \times C$, and $p: X \times C \rightarrow X$, $q: X \times C \rightarrow C$ are the projections. One sees that if $Z \in \mathrm{CH}_k(X)_{\mathrm{alg}}$, then $\Phi_k(Z)$ is contained in

$$F^{-k-1} H_{2k+1}(X, \mathbb{C}) / (F^{-k} H_{2k+1}(X, \mathbb{C}) + H_{2k+1}(X, \mathbb{Z})).$$

Therefore, under the identifications (2.1) and (2.2), the image $\Phi_k(Z)$ as a functional vanishes on

$$F^{k+2} H^{2k+1}(X, \mathbb{C}), \quad H^{2k+1}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cap (F^{k+2} + \overline{F^{k+2}})$$

respectively.

Recall [7] that a *mixed Hodge structure* is a $\mathbb{Z}$ -module $H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ of finite rank equipped with an increasing weight filtration $W_{\bullet} H_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and a decreasing Hodge filtration $F^{\bullet} H_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that for each w , $\mathrm{Gr}_w^W H_{\mathbb{Q}}$ with the induced filtration $F^{\bullet}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$ -Hodge structure of weight w . For mixed Hodge structures A, B , let $\mathrm{Ext}(A, B)$ denote the group of congruence classes of extensions of mixed Hodge structures (see [3]), i.e. exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow E \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

of mixed Hodge structures with the natural equivalence relation and the Baer sum.

If A, B are Hodge structures of weights w, v , respectively, then $\mathrm{Hom}(A, B)$ is a Hodge structure of weight $v - w$. By Carlson [3], when $v - w = -1$, we have an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Ext}(A, B) \simeq J \mathrm{Hom}(A, B)$$

given as follows. For an extension E as above, there is a section $s_F: A_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow E_{\mathbb{C}}$ compatible with the Hodge filtrations and a retraction $r_{\mathbb{Z}}: E_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow B_{\mathbb{Z}}$ of $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules. We associate to E the class of $r_{\mathbb{Z}} \circ s_F$, which is well-defined. If we apply this to

$$H_{2k+1}(X)(-k) = \text{Hom}(H^{2k+1}(X)(k), \mathbb{Z}),$$

we obtain another identification

$$J_k(X) \simeq \text{Ext}(H^{2k+1}(X)(k), \mathbb{Z}).$$

2.2. Harmonic volume. Let X be a smooth projective variety over $\mathbb{C}$. Recall that, for smooth 1-forms φ_i ($i = 1, 2$) and a piecewise smooth path γ on X , Chen's iterated integral (of length 2) is defined by

$$\int_{\gamma} \varphi_1 \varphi_2 = \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^{t_2} f_1(t_1) dt_1 \right) f_2(t_2) dt_2$$

where $\gamma^* \varphi_i = f_i(t) dt$. For paths γ, γ' with $\gamma(1) = \gamma'(0)$, let $\gamma \cdot \gamma'$ denote the composition. Then, we have a formula

$$\int_{\gamma \cdot \gamma'} \varphi \varphi' = \int_{\gamma} \varphi \varphi' + \int_{\gamma} \varphi \int_{\gamma'} \varphi' + \int_{\gamma'} \varphi \varphi'. \quad (2.3)$$

It follows that

$$\int_{\gamma} \varphi \varphi' + \int_{\gamma^{-1}} \varphi \varphi' = \int_{\gamma} \varphi \int_{\gamma} \varphi', \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$\int_{\alpha^{-1} \cdot \gamma \cdot \alpha} \varphi \varphi' = \int_{\gamma} \varphi \varphi' - \int_{\alpha} \varphi \int_{\gamma} \varphi' + \int_{\gamma} \varphi \int_{\alpha} \varphi' \quad (2.5)$$

for a loop γ and a path α with $\gamma(0) = \alpha(0)$. An iterated integral is *closed* if its value at γ depends only on its homotopy class relative to the endpoints.

Fix a base point $x \in X$ and consider the fundamental group $\pi_1(X, x)$. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be the augmentation ideal of the group ring $\mathbb{Z}\pi_1(X, x)$, i.e. the kernel of the degree map

$$\mathbb{Z}\pi_1(X, x) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}; \quad \sum n_i \gamma_i \mapsto \sum n_i.$$

Then, by Chen's π_1 de Rham theorem ([5], see also [12]), $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}\pi_1(X, x)/\mathfrak{a}^{s+1}, \mathbb{R})$ is generated by closed iterated integrals of length $\leq s$. Using this, Hain [12] defined a mixed Hodge structure on $\mathbb{Z}\pi_1(X, x)/\mathfrak{a}^s$ such that the natural map $\mathbb{Z}\pi_1(X, x)/\mathfrak{a}^s \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\pi_1(X, x)/\mathfrak{a}^t$ for $s \geq t$ is a morphism of mixed Hodge structures (there is a different approach by Morgan [17]).

Consider the exact sequence of mixed Hodge structures

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}^2/\mathfrak{a}^3 \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{a}^3 \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{a}^2 \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.6)$$

The map $\pi_1(X, x) \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{a}^2; \gamma \mapsto \gamma - 1$ is well-defined and induces an isomorphism

$$H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{a}^2$$

of Hodge structures of weight -1 . On the other hand, if we put

$$K = \text{Ker}(\cup : \otimes^2 H^1(X) \rightarrow H^2(X)),$$

then the multiplication $\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{a}^2 \otimes \mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{a}^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}^2/\mathfrak{a}^3$ induces an isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{a}^2/\mathfrak{a}^3, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} K$$

of Hodge structures of weight 2. Taking the dual of (2.6), we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{a}^3, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow K_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow 0$$

of mixed Hodge structures, so it defines an element

$$m(x) \in J \operatorname{Hom}(K, H^1(X)).$$

Now, let X be a projective smooth curve over $\mathbb{C}$ of genus $g > 0$. Let

$$(\ , \) : H_1(X) \otimes H^1(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

be the canonical pairing and

$$\langle \ , \ \rangle : H^1(X) \otimes H^1(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(-1)$$

be the cup product $\varphi \otimes \varphi' \mapsto \int_X \varphi \wedge \varphi'$. By the Poincaré duality

$$H_1(X) = H^1(X)^* \simeq H^1(X)(1),$$

we can regard $m(x)$ as an element of

$$J \operatorname{Hom}(K \otimes H^1(X)(1), \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}(K_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes H^1(X)_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}).$$

This coincides with the *pointed harmonic volume* of Harris [13] (see also [15]):

Theorem 2.1 (Pulte [19], Theorem 3.9). *As a functional, $m(x)$ sends*

$$\sum_i \left(\varphi_1^{(i)} \otimes \varphi_2^{(i)} \right) \otimes \varphi_3 \in K_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes H^1(X)_{\mathbb{Z}}$$

to

$$\int_{\gamma_3} \left(\sum_i \tilde{\varphi}_1^{(i)} \tilde{\varphi}_2^{(i)} + \eta \right)$$

where, $\tilde{\varphi}_j^{(i)}$ is a harmonic 1-form representing $\varphi_j^{(i)}$, η is a (unique) 1-form orthogonal to all closed 1-forms such that

$$\sum_i \varphi_1^{(i)} \wedge \varphi_2^{(i)} + d\eta = 0,$$

and γ_3 is a loop based at x whose homology class is the Poincaré dual of φ_3 .

Here, for the Poincaré duality, we follow the convention of Harris (loc. cit.) : γ is the Poincaré dual of α if

$$(\gamma, \varphi') = \langle \varphi', \varphi \rangle$$

for all $\varphi' \in H^1$. Later, we shall restrict ourselves to the case when both φ_1, φ_2 are holomorphic, so that η in the theorem is trivial.

Let A be the Jacobian variety of X . Choosing a base point x , X is embedded into A by

$$\iota_x : X \hookrightarrow A; \quad y \mapsto [y] - [x].$$

It induces isomorphisms

$$H_1(X) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H_1(A), \quad H^1(A) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^1(X)$$

which do not depend on the choice of x . We identify these and sometimes denote them just by H_1, H^1 . Recall that the cup product induces an isomorphism

$$\wedge^n H^1(A) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^n(A).$$

One sees easily, for example using a symplectic basis of $H^1(X, \mathbb{Z})$, that the wedge product $K \otimes H^1 \rightarrow \wedge^3 H^1$ is surjective, so we have an injection

$$J_1(A) \hookrightarrow J \operatorname{Hom}(K \otimes H^1(1), \mathbb{Z}). \quad (2.7)$$

The image $W_1(x) := \iota_x(X)$ defines an algebraic 1-cycle on A . Since the inversion and a translation fix $H_2(A, \mathbb{Z})$, we have $W_1(x) - W_1^\pm(y) \in \text{CH}_1(A)_{\text{hom}}$ for any $x, y \in X$. Then, Harris' result [13] reworked by Pulte [19], Theorem 4.9, is:

Theorem 2.2. *Let X be a smooth projective curve over $\mathbb{C}$ and $x, y \in X$. Under (2.7), $\Phi_1(W_1(x) - W_1^\pm(y))$ maps to $m(x) \mp m(y)$, respectively.*

3. REDUCTION TO $k = 1$

In this section, we recall product structures on the (co)homology groups of an Abelian variety and reduce the calculation of the Abel-Jacobi image of Ceresa cycles to the case $k = 1$.

3.1. Products. For an abelian variety A , let

$$\mu: A \times A \rightarrow A, \quad \Delta: A \rightarrow A \times A$$

be the addition and the diagonal, respectively. The Pontryagin product

$$*: \text{CH}_p(A) \otimes \text{CH}_q(A) \rightarrow \text{CH}_{p+q}(A)$$

is defined to be the composition of the exterior product and the push-forward μ_* . It makes $\text{CH}_\bullet(A)$ a graded commutative ring. Similarly, we have the Pontryagin product on homology

$$*: H_p(A) \otimes H_q(A) \rightarrow H_{p+q}(A),$$

which is a morphism of Hodge structures of weight $-p - q$. It is skew-symmetric, i.e. $\alpha * \beta = (-1)^{pq} \beta * \alpha$, and induces an isomorphism

$$\wedge^n H_1(A) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_n(A). \quad (3.1)$$

If we identify these groups, the Pontryagin product is identified with the natural map

$$\wedge^p H_1(A) \otimes \wedge^q H_1(A) \rightarrow \wedge^{p+q} H_1(A). \quad (3.2)$$

Pontryagin products on Chow groups and homology groups are compatible with the cycle maps

$$\text{cl}_n: \text{CH}_n(A) \rightarrow H_{2n}(A, \mathbb{Z}).$$

On the other hand, the intersection product

$$\cdot: \text{CH}^p(A) \otimes \text{CH}^q(A) \rightarrow \text{CH}^{p+q}(A)$$

and the cup product

$$\cup: H^p(A) \otimes H^q(A) \rightarrow H^{p+q}(A)$$

are the composition of the exterior product and the pull-back Δ^* . It induces an isomorphism

$$\wedge^n H^1(A) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^n(A)$$

and under this identification, the cup product is identified with the natural map

$$\wedge^p H^1(A) \otimes \wedge^q H^1(A) \rightarrow \wedge^{p+q} H^1(A).$$

Therefore, the (co)homology rings $(H_\bullet(A), *)$, $(H^\bullet(A), \cup)$ are identified with the exterior algebras $\wedge^\bullet H_1(A)$, $\wedge^\bullet H^1(A)$, respectively, and are dual to each other. The canonical pairing $(\ , \) : H_n(A) \otimes H^n(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is identified with the pairing

$$\wedge^n H_1(A) \otimes \wedge^n H^1(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

induced from $(\ , \) : H_1(A) \otimes H^1(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, that is,

$$(\alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_n, \varphi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \varphi_n) = \det((\alpha_i, \varphi_j)).$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let*

$$\pi_{p,q}: \wedge^{p+q} H^1(A) \rightarrow \wedge^p H^1(A) \otimes \wedge^q H^1(A)$$

be the dual of the natural map (3.2). Then, for $\varphi = \varphi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \varphi_{p+q} \in \wedge^{p+q} H^1(A)$, we have

$$\pi_{p,q}(\varphi) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_{p,q}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \varphi_{\sigma(1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \varphi_{\sigma(p)} \otimes \varphi_{\sigma(p+1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \varphi_{\sigma(p+q)}$$

where we put $S_{p,q} = \{\sigma \in S_{p+q} \mid \sigma(1) < \cdots < \sigma(p), \sigma(p+1) < \cdots < \sigma(p+q)\}$.

Proof. Fix a basis $\{\alpha_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq 2g\}$ of H_1 and let $\{\check{\alpha}_i\}$ be the dual basis of H^1 , i.e. $(\alpha_i, \check{\alpha}_j) = \delta_{i,j}$. For an injection $\tau: \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, 2g\}$, we put

$$\alpha_\tau = \alpha_{\tau(1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_{\tau(n)}, \quad \check{\alpha}_\tau = \check{\alpha}_{\tau(1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \check{\alpha}_{\tau(n)}.$$

Then those α_τ and $\check{\alpha}_\tau$ with order-preserving τ form bases of $\wedge^n H_1$ and $\wedge^n H^1$, respectively, dual to each other. Then, for order-preserving injections $\tau_p: \{1, 2, \dots, p\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, 2g\}$, $\tau_q: \{1, 2, \dots, q\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, 2g\}$ with $p+q=n$,

$$(\alpha_{\tau_p} \otimes \alpha_{\tau_q}, \pi_{p,q}(\check{\alpha}_\tau)) = (\alpha_{\tau_p} \wedge \alpha_{\tau_q}, \check{\alpha}_\tau)$$

is non-trivial if and only if there exists $\sigma \in S_n$ such that

$$\tau_p(i) = \tau\sigma(i), \quad \tau_q(j) = \tau\sigma(p+j)$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq p$, $1 \leq j \leq q$, in which case, $\alpha_{\tau_p} \wedge \alpha_{\tau_q} = \text{sgn}(\sigma) \alpha_\tau$, so the assertion for $\varphi = \check{\alpha}_\tau$ is proved. Since the right-hand side of the formula is multi-linear and skew-symmetric, the general case follows. $\square$

3.2. Ceresa cycles of higher dimension. Let X^k denote the k -fold product of X and X_k denote the k -th symmetric product. Then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X^k & \xrightarrow{(\iota_x)^k} & A^k \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \mu \\ X_k & \xrightarrow{(\iota_x)_k} & A \end{array}$$

where π is the natural projection, μ is the addition and $(\iota_x)_k$ is the induced morphism. For $1 \leq k \leq g$,

$$W_k(x) := (\iota_x)_k(X_k)$$

defines an algebraic k -cycle on A . As before, we have $W_k(x) - W_k^\pm(y) \in \text{CH}_k(A)_{\text{hom}}$ for any $x, y \in X$.

Remark 3.2. Since $W_k(x) - W_k(y) \in \text{CH}_k(A)_{\text{alg}}$, the class of $W_k(x) - W_k^-(y)$ modulo algebraic equivalence does not depend on x and y .

Since π is a finite morphism of degree $k!$, we have

$$k! \cdot W_k(x) = W_1(x)^{*k} \tag{3.3}$$

where ^{*k} denotes the k -fold Pontryagin product. Since the Pontryagin product on the Chow ring is commutative and compatible with the inversion, we have:

Lemma 3.3. *We have identities in $\mathrm{CH}_k(A)$*

$$k!(W_k(x) - W_k^\pm(y)) = (W_1(x) - W_1^\pm(y)) * V_{k-1}^\pm(x, y),$$

where we put

$$V_{k-1}^\pm(x, y) = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq k-1} W_1(x)^{*i} * W_1^\pm(y)^{*(k-1-i)}.$$

We put

$$w_1 = \mathrm{cl}_1(W_1^\pm(x)) \in H_2(A, \mathbb{Z})$$

which is independent of x . The homology classes of $V_{k-1}(x, y)$ and $V_{k-1}^-(x, y)$ are independent of x, y , and agree with

$$v_{k-1} := k \cdot w_1^{*(k-1)} \in H_{2(k-1)}(A, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Lemma 3.4. *Under the identification $H_{2(k-1)}(A, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(\wedge^{2(k-1)} H_{\mathbb{Z}}^1, \mathbb{Z})$, for $\varphi = \varphi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \varphi_{2(k-1)} \in \wedge^{2(k-1)} H_{\mathbb{Z}}^1$, we have*

$$(v_{k-1}, \varphi) = k! \sum_{\sigma} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \langle \varphi_{\sigma(2i-1)}, \varphi_{\sigma(2i)} \rangle$$

where σ runs through the elements of $S_{2(k-1)}$ such that $\sigma(2i-1) < \sigma(2i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ and $\sigma(2i-1) < \sigma(2i+1)$ for $1 \leq i \leq k-2$.

Proof. Use Lemma 3.1 recursively with $p = 2$. $\square$

The Pontryagin product

$$*: H_3(A)(-1) \otimes H_{2(k-1)}(A)(-k+1) \rightarrow H_{2k+1}(A)(-k)$$

is a morphism of Hodge structures of weight -1 and induces a homomorphism

$$*: J_1(A) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, H_{2(k-1)}(A)(-k+1)) \rightarrow J_k(A). \quad (3.4)$$

Lemma 3.5. *We have an identity in $J_k(A)$*

$$k! \cdot \Phi_k(W_k(x) - W_k^\pm(y)) = \Phi_1(W_1(x) - W_1^\pm(y)) * v_{k-1}.$$

Proof. By (3.3) and Lemma 3.3, it suffices to show the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{CH}_1(A)_{\mathrm{hom}} \otimes \mathrm{CH}_{k-1}(A) & \xrightarrow{*} & \mathrm{CH}_k(A)_{\mathrm{hom}} \\ \Phi_1 \otimes \mathrm{cl}_{k-1} \downarrow & & \downarrow \Phi_k \\ J_1(A) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, H_{2(k-1)}(A)(-k+1)) & \xrightarrow{*} & J_k(A) \end{array}$$

which is clear since $\partial W \times Z = \partial(W \times Z)$ for a topological 3-chain W and a topological $2(k-1)$ -cycle Z , and Φ_k commutes with μ_* . $\square$

By (2.2) and (3.1), we have

$$J_k(A) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(\wedge^{2k+1} H_{\mathbb{Z}}^1, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}), \quad (3.5)$$

so (3.4) is identified with a map

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\wedge^3 H_{\mathbb{Z}}^1, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}(\wedge^{2(k-1)} H^1(k-1), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\wedge^{2k+1} H_{\mathbb{Z}}^1, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}). \quad (3.6)$$

This map is the restriction of the map induced from the homomorphism

$$\pi_{3,2(k-1)}: \wedge^{2k+1} H_{\mathbb{Z}}^1 \rightarrow \wedge^3 H_{\mathbb{Z}}^1 \otimes \wedge^{2(k-1)} H_{\mathbb{Z}}^1$$

of Lemma 3.1. Therefore:

Lemma 3.6. *The image of $f \otimes g$ under (3.6) sends $\varphi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \varphi_{2k+1} \in \wedge^{2k+1} H_{\mathbb{Z}}^1$ to*

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_{3,2(k-1)}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) f(\varphi_{\sigma(1)} \wedge \varphi_{\sigma(2)} \wedge \varphi_{\sigma(3)}) g(\varphi_{\sigma(4)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \varphi_{\sigma(2k+1)}).$$

From the above lemmas, we obtain:

Proposition 3.7. *Under the identification (3.5), we have for $\varphi_i \in H_{\mathbb{Z}}^1$ ($1 \leq i \leq 2k+1$),*

$$\begin{aligned} & k! \cdot \Phi_k(W_k(x) - W_k^{\pm}(y))(\varphi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \varphi_{2k+1}) \\ &= k! \sum_{\sigma} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \Phi_1(W_1(x) - W_1^{\pm}(y))(\varphi_{\sigma(1)} \wedge \varphi_{\sigma(2)} \wedge \varphi_{\sigma(3)}) \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \langle \varphi_{\sigma(2i+2)}, \varphi_{\sigma(2i+3)} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where σ runs through the elements of S_{2k+1} such that $\sigma(1) < \sigma(2) < \sigma(3)$, $\sigma(2i+2) < \sigma(2i+3)$ for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, and $\sigma(2i+2) < \sigma(2i+4)$ for $1 \leq i \leq k-2$.

4. FERMAT CURVE

In this section, after recalling basic materials, we calculate iterated integrals and the pointed harmonic volume of the Fermat curve. Then, we derive a description of the Abel-Jacobi image of the Ceresa cycle. Finally, we introduce examples of cohomology classes of Hodge type $(k+2, k-1) + (k-1, k+2)$.

4.1. Iterated integral on the Fermat curve. Let $N \geq 4$ be an integer and let

$$X: x_0^N + y_0^N = z_0^N$$

be the Fermat curve of degree N and $x^N + y^N = 1$ be its affine equation. As a base point, we choose $(x, y) = (0, 1)$. Its genus is $g = (N-1)(N-2)/2 \geq 3$ by our assumption. Let μ_N be the group of N -th roots of unity in $\mathbb{C}$ and put $\zeta = \exp(2\pi i/N)$. Put a group

$$G = \mu_N \times \mu_N$$

and its elements $\alpha = (\zeta, 1)$, $\beta = (1, \zeta)$. Let G act on X by $\alpha^r \beta^s(x, y) = (\zeta^r x, \zeta^s y)$. If R is a ring, then the group ring $R[G]$ acts on $H_1(X, R)$ (resp. $H^1(X, R)$) by the push-forward (resp. pull-back).

Define a path by

$$\delta: [0, 1] \rightarrow X; \quad t \mapsto (t^{1/N}, (1-t)^{1/N})$$

where the branches are taken in $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. If we put

$$\kappa = \delta \cdot (\beta_* \delta)^{-1} \cdot (\alpha_* \delta) \cdot (\alpha_* \delta)^{-1},$$

it is a loop with the base point $(0, 1)$. Then, $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is a cyclic $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module generated by κ (Rohrlich [20]).

We put an index set

$$\mathbf{I} = \{(a, b) \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 2} \mid a, b, a+b \neq 0\}.$$

For $a \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$, let $\langle a \rangle \in \{1, 2, \dots, N-1\}$ denote its representative. For $(a, b) \in \mathbf{I}$, we define a differential form by

$$\omega_0^{a,b} = x^{\langle a \rangle} y^{\langle b \rangle - N} \frac{dx}{x}.$$

Note that $\omega_0^{a,b}$ is an eigenvector for the G -action:

$$(\alpha^r \beta^s)^* \omega_0^{a,b} = \zeta^{ar+bs} \omega_0^{a,b}.$$

It is a differential form of the second kind (i.e. has no residues) and defines a cohomology class, which by abuse of notation we denote by the same letter. It is of the first kind (i.e. holomorphic) if and only if (a, b) belongs to

$$\mathbf{I}_{\text{holo}} := \{(a, b) \in \mathbf{I} \mid \langle a \rangle + \langle b \rangle < N\}.$$

Note that for each $(a, b) \in \mathbf{I}$, exactly one of (a, b) , $(-a, -b)$ is in $\mathbf{I}_{\text{holo}}$. It is well-known that

$$\{\omega_0^{a,b} \mid (a, b) \in \mathbf{I}\}, \quad \{\omega_0^{a,b} \mid (a, b) \in \mathbf{I}_{\text{holo}}\}, \quad \{\omega_0^{-a,-b} \mid (a, b) \in \mathbf{I}_{\text{holo}}\}$$

are bases of $H^1(X, \mathbb{C})$, $H^{1,0}(X)$, $H^{0,1}(X)$, respectively.

We have

$$\int_{\delta} \omega_0^{a,b} = \frac{1}{N} B\left(\frac{\langle a \rangle}{N}, \frac{\langle b \rangle}{N}\right)$$

where $B(s, t)$ is the Beta function. If we normalize as

$$\omega^{a,b} = \left(\frac{1}{N} B\left(\frac{\langle a \rangle}{N}, \frac{\langle b \rangle}{N}\right) \right)^{-1} \omega_0^{a,b},$$

then we have $\int_{(\alpha^r \beta^s)_* \delta} \omega^{a,b} = \zeta^{ar+bs}$, hence the periods

$$\int_{(\alpha^r \beta^s)_* \kappa} \omega^{a,b} = \zeta^{ar+bs} (1 - \zeta^a)(1 - \zeta^b). \quad (4.1)$$

By using (2.3), (2.4), we have ([24], Lemma 3.5)

$$\int_{\kappa} \omega^{a,b} \omega^{c,d} = (1 - \zeta^{a+c})(1 - \zeta^{b+d}) \int_{\delta} \omega^{a,b} \omega^{c,d} + (1 - \zeta^b)(\zeta^{a+c} + \zeta^{c+d} - \zeta^c - \zeta^d).$$

As a loop with the base point $(0, 1)$ representing the homology class of $(\alpha^r \beta^s)_* \kappa$, we choose

$$\kappa^{r,s} := \delta \cdot ((\beta^s)_* \delta)^{-1} \cdot (\alpha^r \beta^s)_* \kappa \cdot (\beta^s)_* \delta \cdot \delta^{-1}.$$

Then we have similarly using (2.5)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\kappa^{r,s}} \omega^{a,b} \omega^{c,d} &= \zeta^{(a+c)r+(b+d)s} \int_{\kappa} \omega^{a,b} \omega^{c,d} \\ &\quad - \zeta^{ar+bs} (1 - \zeta^a)(1 - \zeta^b)(1 - \zeta^{ds}) + \zeta^{cr+ds} (1 - \zeta^c)(1 - \zeta^d)(1 - \zeta^{bs}) \end{aligned}$$

([24], Theorem 3.6). We have proved:

Proposition 4.1. *Let $(a, b), (c, d) \in \mathbf{I}$ and $\kappa^{r,s}$ ($r, s \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$) be the loop as above. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\kappa^{r,s}} \omega^{a,b} \omega^{c,d} &= \zeta^{(a+c)r+(b+d)s} \left\{ (1 - \zeta^{a+c})(1 - \zeta^{b+d}) \int_{\delta} \omega^{a,b} \omega^{c,d} + P_1 \right\} \\ &\quad + \zeta^{ar+bs} (1 - \zeta^{ds}) P_2 + \zeta^{cr+ds} (1 - \zeta^{bs}) P_3, \end{aligned}$$

where P_i are polynomials in $\zeta^a, \zeta^b, \zeta^c, \zeta^d$ with $\mathbb{Z}$ -coefficients independent of r, s .

4.2. Harmonic volume of the Fermat curve. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_N)$ be the N -cyclotomic field, $\mathcal{O}$ be its integer ring and fix a primitive N -th root of unity $\xi \in \mathcal{O}$. We use notations such as $H_{\mathcal{O}} = H \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}$, $H_K = H \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K$, $H_{\mathbb{C}} = H \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$. Since we fixed the base point $(0, 1)$, we denote the pointed harmonic volume just by m . It extends naturally to

$$m_{\mathcal{O}}: K_{\mathcal{O}} \otimes H_{\mathcal{O}}^1 \rightarrow (\mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R})/\mathcal{O}.$$

Note that

$$\mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \simeq \left[\prod_{\sigma: K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C} \right]^+$$

where σ runs through the embeddings of K into $\mathbb{C}$ and $+$ denotes the fixed part by the complex conjugation acting on the set $\{\sigma\}$ and $\mathbb{C}$ at the same time. Let m_{σ} denote the σ -component of $m_{\mathcal{O}}$. The non-triviality of m follows from that of m_{σ} or the composition $\text{Tr} \circ m_{\mathcal{O}} = \sum_{\sigma} m_{\sigma}$, where

$$\text{Tr}: (\mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R})/\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$$

is the trace map.

For each $(a, b) \in \mathbf{I}$, define a character by

$$\theta^{a,b}: G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^*; \quad \theta^{a,b}(\alpha^r \beta^s) = \xi^{ar+bs}$$

and let

$$p^{a,b} = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{g \in G} \theta^{a,b}(g^{-1})g \in K[G]$$

be the projector to the $\theta^{a,b}$ -isotropic component. By the structure of $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ and $H^1(X, \mathbb{C})$ mentioned above and the Poincaré duality, $H_1(X, k)$ admits a basis $\{(p^{a,b})_* \kappa \mid (a, b) \in \mathbf{I}\}$.

For an embedding $\sigma: K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, let $h \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^*$ be the element such that $\sigma(\xi) = \zeta^h$. By (4.1), $\omega^{a,b}$ is in the image of $H_{\mathcal{O}}^1 \subset H_K^1 \xrightarrow{\sigma} H_{\mathbb{C}}^1$. Let $\varphi^{a,b} \in H_K^1$ be the element corresponding under the Poincaré duality to

$$\frac{N^2}{1 - \xi^{-(a+b)}} (p^{-a,-b})_* \kappa \in H_{1,K}.$$

Proposition 4.2.

- (i) For an embedding $\sigma: K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $\sigma(\xi) = \zeta^h$, we have $\sigma(\varphi^{a,b}) = \omega^{ha,hb}$, hence $\varphi^{a,b} \in H_{\mathcal{O}}^1$.
- (ii) Under the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{O}}: H_{\mathcal{O}}^1 \wedge H_{\mathcal{O}}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$, we have

$$\langle \varphi^{a,b}, \varphi^{c,d} \rangle = \begin{cases} N^2 \frac{(1-\xi^a)(1-\xi^b)}{1-\xi^{a+b}} & \text{if } (a, b) = (-c, -d), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Under the Poincaré duality, g_* on the homology corresponds to $(g^{-1})^*$ on the cohomology. Therefore, $(\sigma(p^{-a,-b}))_* \kappa$ correspond to a constant multiple of $\omega^{ha,hb}$. By (4.1), we have

$$\int_{\sigma(p^{-a,-b})_* \kappa} \omega^{c,d} = \int_{\kappa} \sigma(p^{-a,-b})^* \omega^{c,d} = (1 - \zeta^{-ha})(1 - \zeta^{-hb})$$

if $(c, d) = (-ha, -hb)$, and 0 otherwise. On the other hand, one calculates

$$\langle \omega^{c,d}, \omega^{ha,hb} \rangle = N^2 \frac{(1 - \zeta^{-ha})(1 - \zeta^{-hb})}{1 - \zeta^{-h(a+b)}}$$

if $(c, d) = (-ha, -hb)$, and 0 otherwise. By comparison, we obtain the result. $\square$

Remark 4.3. It follows from (4.1) that, for any $(a, b) \in \mathbf{I}$, we have

$$\frac{1}{(1 - \xi^a)(1 - \xi^b)} \varphi^{a,b} \in H_1(X, \mathcal{O}).$$

We restrict ourselves to the following situation.

Assumption 4.4. Let $(a_i, b_i) \in \mathbf{I}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) and assume:

- (i) $\sum_{i=1}^3 (a_i, b_i) = (0, 0)$.
- (ii) For any $h \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^*$, we have either $(ha_i, hb_i) \in \mathbf{I}_{\text{holo}}$ for $i = 1, 2$, or $(ha_i, hb_i) \notin \mathbf{I}_{\text{holo}}$ for $i = 1, 2$.

The assumption (i) implies that $(a_1, b_1) \neq (-a_2, -b_2)$, hence

$$\varphi^{a_1, b_1} \otimes \varphi^{a_2, b_2} \in K_{\mathcal{O}}$$

by Proposition 4.2 (ii). The assumption (ii) is satisfied for example if $(a_1, b_1) = (b_2, a_2)$.

Proposition 4.5. Under Assumption 4.4, let $\sigma: K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an embedding with $\sigma(\xi) = \zeta^h$ such that $(ha_i, hb_i) \in \mathbf{I}_{\text{holo}}$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then we have

$$m_{\sigma}(\varphi^{a_1, b_1} \otimes \varphi^{a_2, b_2} \otimes \varphi^{a_3, b_3}) = \frac{N^2(1 - \zeta^{-ha_3})(1 - \zeta^{-hb_3})}{1 - \zeta^{-h(a_3+b_3)}} \int_{\delta} \omega^{ha_1, hb_1} \omega^{ha_2, hb_2} + P(\zeta^h)$$

where P is a polynomial with $\mathbb{Z}$ -coefficients independent of σ .

Proof. By Assumption 4.4 (ii) and the assumption on σ , we have $\eta = 0$ in Theorem 2.1. By Proposition 4.2 (i), we are reduced to calculate

$$\frac{1}{1 - \zeta^{-h(a_3+b_3)}} \sum_{r,s \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}} \zeta^{h(a_3r+b_3s)} \int_{\kappa^{r,s}} \omega^{ha_1, hb_1} \omega^{ha_2, hb_2}.$$

Then, by Proposition 4.1 and Assumption 4.4 (i), we obtain the result. $\square$

Remark 4.6. If Assumption 4.4 (i) is not satisfied, still assuming $(a_1, b_1) \neq (-a_2, -b_2)$, then the proof of Proposition 4.5 shows that $m_{\mathcal{O}}(\varphi^{a_1, b_1} \otimes \varphi^{a_2, b_2} \otimes \varphi^{a_3, b_3}) = 0$.

Corollary 4.7. If

$$\int_{\delta} \omega^{ha_1, hb_1} \omega^{ha_2, hb_2} \notin \mathbb{Q}(\mu_N)$$

for some $h \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^*$, then, $W_k - W_k^-$ is non-torsion modulo algebraic equivalence for $1 \leq k \leq g - 2$.

Proof. It is immediate if h satisfies the assumption of the proposition. The harmonic volume has the following property [15]: if $\langle \varphi_i, \varphi_j \rangle = 0$ for any $1 \leq i, j \leq 3$, then for $\tau \in S_3$, we have

$$m(x)(\varphi_{\tau(1)} \otimes \varphi_{\tau(2)} \otimes \varphi_{\tau(3)}) = \text{sgn}(\sigma)m(x)(\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2 \otimes \varphi_3).$$

Therefore we can assume that (ha_1, hb_1) and (ha_2, hb_2) have the same type. Then, since $m_{\overline{\sigma}} = \overline{m_{\sigma}}$, we are reduced to the first case. $\square$

Theorem 4.8. Under Assumption 4.4, we have

$$\text{Tr} \circ m_{\mathcal{O}} \left(\frac{\varphi^{a_1, b_1} \otimes \varphi^{a_2, b_2} \otimes \varphi^{a_3, b_3}}{(1 - \xi^{-a_3})(1 - \xi^{-b_3})} \right) = N^2 \sum \int_{\delta} \omega^{ha_1, hb_1} \omega^{ha_2, hb_2}$$

where the sum is taken over $h \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^*$ such that $(ha_i, hb_i) \in \mathbf{I}_{\text{holo}}$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Proof. Note that, by the assumption and Remark 4.3, the element in the parentheses is an element of $K_{\mathcal{O}} \otimes H_{\mathcal{O}}^1$. Since $m_{\overline{\sigma}} = \overline{m_{\sigma}}$ and the iterated integral in Proposition 4.5 is $\mathbb{R}$ -valued, we are reduced to $\frac{1}{1-\zeta} + \frac{1}{1-\zeta^{-1}} = 1$ for a root of unity ζ other than 1. $\square$

Now, let $1 \leq k \leq g-2$ and $(a_i, b_i) \in \mathbf{I}$ ($1 \leq i \leq 2k+1$) be distinct elements such that $\{(a_i, b_i) \mid i = 1, 2, 3\}$ satisfies Assumption 4.4 and $(a_{2i+2}, b_{2i+2}) = (-a_{2i+3}, -b_{2i+3})$ for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$. Put

$$\varphi_1 = \varphi^{a_1, b_1}, \quad \varphi_2 = \varphi^{a_2, b_2}, \quad \varphi_3 = \frac{1}{(1 - \xi^{-a_3})(1 - \xi^{-b_3})} \varphi^{a_3, b_3},$$

and, for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$,

$$\varphi_{2i+2} = \frac{1 - \xi^{a+b}}{(1 - \xi^a)(1 - \xi^b)} \varphi^{a_{2i+2}, b_{2i+2}}, \quad \varphi_{2i+3} = \varphi^{a_{2i+3}, b_{2i+3}},$$

so that $\langle \varphi_{2i+2}, \varphi_{2i+3} \rangle = N^2$ by Proposition 4.2 (ii). Put $\varphi = \varphi^{a_1, b_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi^{a_{2k+1}, b_{2k+1}}$.

Corollary 4.9. *With the notations as above, we have*

$$k! \cdot \text{Tr} \circ \Phi_k(W_k - W_k^-)(\varphi) = k! \cdot 2N^{2k} \sum \int_{\delta} \omega^{ha_1, hb_1} \omega^{ha_2, hb_2}$$

where the sum is as in Theorem 4.8.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 2.2, Proposition 3.7 and Theorem 4.8. $\square$

4.3. Examples. For the non-triviality of $W_k - W_k^-$ modulo algebraic equivalence, not only rational equivalence, we consider the following case.

Proposition 4.10. *Let $\varphi \in \wedge^{2k+1} H_{\mathcal{O}}^1$ be as in Corollary 4.9. Assume further that for any $h \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^*$, we have either $(ha_i, hb_i) \in \mathbf{I}_{\text{holo}}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, or $(ha_i, hb_i) \notin \mathbf{I}_{\text{holo}}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Then we have*

$$\varphi \in \left(\wedge^{2k+1} H_{\mathbb{Z}}^1 \cap (F^{k+2} + \overline{F^{k+2}}) \right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}.$$

Proof. It follows from Proposition 4.2 (i) and our assumptions. $\square$

Therefore, the non-vanishing of $\Phi_k(W_k - W_k^-)(\varphi)$ for such φ implies that $W_k - W_k^- \notin \text{CH}_k(A)_{\text{alg}}$. Now we give such examples. Note that it suffices to give $\{(a_i, b_i) \mid i = 1, 2, 3\}$, since we can always find the others by the assumption $k \leq g-2$.

Example 4.11. If we put

$$(a_1, b_1) = (1, -2), \quad (a_2, b_2) = (-2, 1), \quad (a_3, b_3) = (1, 1),$$

then they satisfy the assumption of Proposition 4.10. We have $(ha_i, hb_i) \in \mathbf{I}_{\text{holo}}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ if and only if $\langle h \rangle < N/2$.

Example 4.12. Let $N = 7$ and put

$$(a_1, b_1) = (1, 2), \quad (a_2, b_2) = (2, 4), \quad (a_3, b_3) = (4, 1).$$

Then they satisfy the assumption of Proposition 4.10. We have $(ha_i, hb_i) \in \mathbf{I}_{\text{holo}}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ if and only if $h = 1, 2, 4$.

It is related the study of the Klein quartic curve

$$C: u_0^3 v_0 + v_0^3 w_0 + w_0^3 u_0 = 0$$

whose genus is 3. Consider the unramified morphism of degree 7

$$f: X \rightarrow C; \quad (u_0 : v_0 : w_0) = (x_0^3 z_0 : -y_0^3 x_0 : z_0^3 y_0).$$

There is a unique holomorphic 1-form ω_i on C such that $f^*\omega_i = \omega^{a_i, b_i}$ and $H_1(C, \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by $\{f_*((\alpha^r)_*(\delta \cdot (\alpha_*\delta)^{-1})) \mid r \in \mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z}\}$ (cf. [23]). Since

$$\int_{f_*((\alpha^r)_*(\delta \cdot (\alpha_*\delta)^{-1}))} \omega_i = \int_{(\alpha^r)_*(\delta \cdot (\alpha_*\delta)^{-1})} \omega^{a_i, b_i} = \zeta^{ra_i}(1 - \zeta^{a_i}),$$

we have

$$\frac{\varphi^{1,2} \wedge \varphi^{2,4} \wedge \varphi^{4,1}}{(1 - \xi^{-4})(1 - \xi^{-1})} \in f^*(\wedge^3 H^1(C, \mathcal{O})).$$

Therefore, if the quantity of Corollary 4.9 is not a rational integer (which will be verified in §5.3), then the Ceresa cycle ($k = 1$) of C is non-trivial modulo algebraic equivalence, which reproves Tadokoro's theorem [23].

Remark 4.13. In fact, it is known that the Ceresa cycle ($k = 1$) of C is non-torsion modulo algebraic equivalence. In [16], Kimura proves that the image of the modified diagonal cycle of Gross-Schoen [11] under the ℓ -adic Abel-Jacobi map (for some prime ℓ) is non-torsion, and the image equals the image of the Ceresa cycle times 3 (Colombo-van Geemen [6], Proposition 2.9).

5. GENERALIZED HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTIONS

In this section, after recalling generalized hypergeometric functions of Barnes and Appell, we express the harmonic volume of the Fermat curve in terms of special values of those functions. Finally, we compute examples and prove Theorem 1.1.

5.1. Barnes' hypergeometric function ${}_3F_2$. Let

$$(a, n) = a(a+1) \cdots (a+n-1) = \Gamma(a+n)/\Gamma(a)$$

be the Pochhammer symbol, where $\Gamma(s)$ is the Gamma function. For $d, e \notin \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$, Barnes hypergeometric function ${}_3F_2$ is defined by

$${}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} a, b, c \\ d, e \end{matrix}; x \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a, n)(b, n)(c, n)}{(d, n)(e, n)(1, n)} x^n.$$

Its radius of convergence is 1, but if $\operatorname{Re}(d + e - a - b - c) > 0$, it also converges for $|x| = 1$. We use the standard notation

$$\Gamma \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \\ \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n \end{matrix} \right) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1) \cdots \Gamma(\alpha_m)}{\Gamma(\beta_1) \cdots \Gamma(\beta_n)}.$$

By the integral representation of Euler type (cf. [21]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{[0,1]} u^{\alpha_1-1} (1-u)^{\beta_1-1} du v^{\alpha_2-1} (1-v)^{\beta_2-1} dv \\ &= \Gamma \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha_1, \beta_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_1 + 1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_2 \end{matrix} \right) {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha_1, 1 - \beta_1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_1 + 1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_2 \end{matrix}; 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

By using Dixon's formula (cf. [21]) repeatedly, we obtain ten such expressions.

Lemma 5.1. *If $0 < \alpha_i, \beta_i < 1$, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{[0,1]} u^{\alpha_1-1} (1-u)^{\beta_1-1} du v^{\alpha_2-1} (1-v)^{\beta_2-1} dv \\ &= \Gamma \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha_1, \beta_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_1 + 1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_2 \end{matrix} \right) {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha_1, 1 - \beta_1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_1 + 1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_2 \end{matrix}; 1 \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \Gamma \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha_1, \beta_2, \beta_1 + \beta_2 \\ \beta_2 + 1, \alpha_1 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 \end{matrix} \right) {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} 1 - \alpha_2, \beta_2, \beta_1 + \beta_2 \\ \beta_2 + 1, \alpha_1 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 \end{matrix}; 1 \right) \\
&= \Gamma \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha_1, \beta_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \beta_1 + \beta_2 \\ \alpha_1 + 1, \alpha_2 + \beta_2, \alpha_1 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 \end{matrix} \right) {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha_1, 1 - \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \beta_1 \\ \alpha_1 + 1, \alpha_1 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 \end{matrix}; 1 \right) \\
&= \Gamma \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha_1, \beta_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \beta_1 + \beta_2 \\ \beta_2 + 1, \alpha_1 + \beta_1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_2 \end{matrix} \right) {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} 1 - \beta_1, \beta_2, \alpha_2 + \beta_2 \\ \beta_2 + 1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_2 \end{matrix}; 1 \right) \\
&= \Gamma \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha_1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \beta_1 + \beta_2 \\ \alpha_1 + 1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 \end{matrix} \right) {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \beta_1, 1 \\ \alpha_1 + 1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 \end{matrix}; 1 \right) \\
&= \Gamma \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \beta_2, \beta_1 + \beta_2 \\ \beta_2 + 1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 \end{matrix} \right) {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha_2 + \beta_2, \beta_1 + \beta_2, 1 \\ \beta_2 + 1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 \end{matrix}; 1 \right) \\
&= \Gamma \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha_1, \beta_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \beta_1 + \beta_2 \\ 1 - \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 \end{matrix} \right) \\
&\quad \times {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_2 + \beta_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 - 1 \\ \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 \end{matrix}; 1 \right) \\
&= \Gamma \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha_1, \beta_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \beta_1 + \beta_2 \\ 1 - \beta_1, \alpha_1 + \beta_1 + \beta_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 \end{matrix} \right) \\
&\quad \times {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha_1 + \beta_1, \beta_1 + \beta_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 - 1 \\ \alpha_1 + \beta_1 + \beta_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 \end{matrix}; 1 \right) \\
&= \Gamma \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha_1, \beta_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \beta_1 + \beta_2 \\ \alpha_1 + 1, \beta_2 + 1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 - 1 \end{matrix} \right) {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} 1 - \alpha_2, 1 - \beta_1, 1 \\ \alpha_1 + 1, \beta_2 + 1 \end{matrix}; 1 \right) \\
&= \Gamma \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha_1, \beta_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \beta_1 + \beta_2 \\ \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_2, \alpha_1 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 \end{matrix} \right) {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha_1, \beta_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 - 1 \\ \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_2, \alpha_1 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 \end{matrix}; 1 \right)
\end{aligned}$$

where the ninth one converges when $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 < 1$.

We shall use the last one, which is most symmetric and has the rapidest convergence.

5.2. Appell's hypergeometric function F_3 . Appell's hypergeometric function F_3 of two variables [1] is defined by

$$F_3(\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta', \gamma; x, y) = \sum_{m, n \geq 0} \frac{(\alpha, m)(\alpha', n)(\beta, m)(\beta', n)}{(\gamma, m + n)(1, m)(1, n)} x^m y^n.$$

It converges at $(x, y) = (1, 1)$ if $\operatorname{Re}(\gamma - \alpha - \beta) > 0$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\gamma - \alpha' - \beta') > 0$. When $\gamma = \alpha + \alpha' + 1$, by comparing the integral representations of ${}_3F_2$ and F_3 , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&F_3(\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta', \alpha + \alpha' + 1; x, 1) \\
&= \Gamma \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha + \alpha' + 1, \alpha - \beta' + 1 \\ \alpha + 1, \alpha + \alpha' - \beta' + 1 \end{matrix} \right) {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha, \beta, \alpha - \beta' + 1 \\ \alpha + 1, \alpha + \alpha' - \beta' + 1 \end{matrix}; x \right).
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{[0,1]} u^{\alpha_1-1} (1-u)^{\beta_1-1} du v^{\alpha_2-1} (1-v)^{\beta_2-1} dv \\
&= \Gamma \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha_1, \beta_2 \\ \alpha_1 + \beta_2 + 1 \end{matrix} \right) F_3(\alpha_1, \beta_2, 1 - \beta_1, 1 - \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \beta_2 + 1; 1, 1).
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 5.2. Similar special values of ${}_3F_2$ and F_3 also appear in the description of the Beilinson regulator of motives associated Fermat curves [18].

5.3. Harmonic volume and hypergeometric values. Let the notations be as in §4. Since

$$\delta^* \omega_0^{a,b} = t^{\frac{\langle a \rangle}{N}} (1-t)^{\frac{\langle b \rangle}{N}-1} \frac{1}{N} \frac{dt}{t}$$

and by the well-known formula

$$B(s, t) = \frac{\Gamma(s)\Gamma(t)}{\Gamma(s+t)},$$

we obtain from the last expression of Lemma 5.1:

Proposition 5.3. *For $(a_i, b_i) \in \mathbf{I}$, put $\alpha_i = \langle a_i \rangle / N$, $\beta_i = \langle b_i \rangle / N$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\delta} \omega^{a_1, b_1} \omega^{a_2, b_2} &= \Gamma \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \beta_1 + \beta_2, \alpha_1 + \beta_1, \alpha_2 + \beta_2 \\ \alpha_2, \beta_1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_2, \alpha_1 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 \end{matrix} \right) \\ &\quad \times {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha_1, \beta_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 - 1 \\ \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_2, \alpha_1 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 \end{matrix} ; 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now we apply this to Example 4.11. For an integer h with $0 < h < N/2$, $(h, N) = 1$, Proposition 5.3 reads

$$\int_{\delta} \omega^{h, -2h} \omega^{-2h, h} = \frac{\Gamma(1 - \frac{h}{N})^4}{\Gamma(1 - \frac{2h}{N})^2} {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} \frac{h}{N}, \frac{h}{N}, 1 - \frac{2h}{N} \\ 1, 1 \end{matrix} ; 1 \right)$$

and by Corollary 4.7, Theorem 1.1 (i) follows. Corollary 4.9 reads

$$k! \cdot \Phi_k(W_k - W_k^-)(\varphi) = k! \cdot 2N^{2k} \sum_{\substack{0 < h < N/2 \\ (h, N) = 1}} \frac{\Gamma(1 - \frac{h}{N})^4}{\Gamma(1 - \frac{2h}{N})^2} {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} \frac{h}{N}, \frac{h}{N}, 1 - \frac{2h}{N} \\ 1, 1 \end{matrix} ; 1 \right). \quad (5.1)$$

Let $f(N, k)$ denote the right-hand side of (5.1) and we compute its fractional part. Recall that $N \geq 4$ and $1 \leq k \leq g-2$ where $g = (N-1)(N-2)/2$. Here is the command for Mathematica:

```
f[n_, k_] := k! 2 n^ (2k) Sum[If[GCD[n, h]==1, 1, 0]
  Gamma[1-h/n]^4/Gamma[1-2h/n]^2
  HypergeometricPFQ[{h/n, h/n, 1-2h/n}, {1, 1}, 1],
  {h, 1, IntegerPart[(n-1)/2]]]
N[FractionalPart[f[n, k]]]
```

The author verified that $f(N, 1) \notin \mathbb{Z}$ for $N \leq 1000$ (see the table below for $N < 100$) and that $f(N, k) \notin \mathbb{Z}$ for $N \leq 8$ and any k , hence Theorem 1.1 (ii) is proved.

In view of Swinnerton-Dyer's conjecture (see Introduction), one may also fix N, k and compute $mf(N, k)$ for various m to obtain a lower bound of the order of $W_k - W_k^-$ modulo algebraic equivalence. For example, the author verified that $mf(5, 1) \notin \mathbb{Z}$ for $m \leq 10^5$.

Finally, if we apply Proposition 5.3 to Example 4.12, then Corollary 4.9 reads

$$\begin{aligned} k! \cdot \text{Tr} \circ \Phi_k(W_k - W_k^-)(\varphi) \\ = k! \cdot 2 \cdot 7^{2k} \left(\Gamma \left(\frac{3}{7}, \frac{6}{7} \right)^2 + \Gamma \left(\frac{5}{7}, \frac{6}{7} \right)^2 + \Gamma \left(\frac{3}{7}, \frac{5}{7} \right)^2 \right) {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{7}, \frac{2}{7}, \frac{4}{7} \\ 1, 1 \end{matrix} ; 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

For the maximal $k = 13$, the fractional part of the right-hand side is 0.96275 ± 10^{-5} . This shows again that $W_k - W_k^-$ is not algebraically trivial for $N = 7$ and any k .

TABLE 1. The fractional part of $f(N, 1)$ for $N < 100$

N	$f(N, 1)$	N	$f(N, 1)$	N	$f(N, 1)$
4	0.262996	36	0.447655	68	0.718085
5	0.537741	37	0.525754	69	0.964278
6	0.834938	38	0.709018	70	0.103166
7	0.0389723	39	0.90578	71	0.449617
8	0.486831	40	0.885897	72	0.544859
9	0.191617	41	0.888106	73	0.356497
10	0.0194112	42	0.664142	74	0.505994
11	0.714331	43	0.053105	75	0.232621
12	0.787413	44	0.194837	76	0.992762
13	0.339364	45	0.167823	77	0.581805
14	0.107307	46	0.581124	78	0.102977
15	0.964777	47	0.0668079	79	0.822496
16	0.0707329	48	0.0527443	80	0.517871
17	0.849791	49	0.492313	81	0.960151
18	0.8478	50	0.316991	82	0.0135158
19	0.216837	51	0.298819	83	0.686773
20	0.459979	52	0.59749	84	0.791853
21	0.296951	53	0.444978	85	0.862785
22	0.876098	54	0.919842	86	0.698527
23	0.884882	55	0.714357	87	0.169399
24	0.565879	56	0.197632	88	0.440793
25	0.227588	57	0.321665	89	0.678576
26	0.674037	58	0.688486	90	0.312135
27	0.024742	59	0.0898551	91	0.285791
28	0.860369	60	0.687806	92	0.877431
29	0.862392	61	0.832525	93	0.360037
30	0.706843	62	0.301712	94	0.796999
31	0.753471	63	0.02593	95	0.797337
32	0.389462	64	0.920061	96	0.532044
33	0.736648	65	0.706527	97	0.848835
34	0.106166	66	0.0810429	98	0.898728
35	0.518381	67	0.0490554	99	0.72628

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Appell and J. Kampé de Fériet, *Fonctions hypergéométriques et hypersphériques - polynômes d'Hermite*. Gauthier-Villars, 1926.
- [2] S. Bloch, Algebraic cycles and values of L -functions. J. Reine Angew. Math. **350** (1984), 94-108.
- [3] J. A. Carlson, Extensions of mixed Hodge structures. In: A. Beauville, Editor, Journées de Géométrie Algébrique d'Angers, Sijthoff and Noordhoff, Alphen aan den Rijn (1980), 77-105
- [4] G. Ceresa, C is not algebraically equivalent to C^- in its Jacobian. Ann. Math. (2) **117** (1983), no. 2, 285-291.
- [5] K.-T. Chen, Iterated integrals, fundamental groups and covering spaces. Trans. AMS **206** (1975), 83-98.
- [6] E. Colombo and B. van Geemen, Note on curves in a jacobian, Compos. Math. **88** (1993), 333-353.
- [7] P. Deligne, Théorie de Hodge. II. Publ. Math. IHES, **40** (1971), 5-57.
- [8] W. M. Faucette, Higher dimensional harmonic volume can be computed as an iterated integral, Canad. Math. Bull., **35** (1992), no.3, 328-340.
- [9] W. M. Faucette, Harmonic volume, symmetric products, and the Abel-Jacobi map, Trans. AMS **335**(1993), no.1, 303-327.

- [10] P. Griffiths, On the periods of certain rational integrals, II. *Ann. Math. (2)* **90** (1969), 496-541.
- [11] B. H. Gross and C. Schoen, The modified diagonal cycle on the triple product of a pointed curve. *Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble* **45**, 3 (1995), 649-679.
- [12] R. M. Hain, The geometry of the mixed Hodge structure on the fundamental group, *Algebraic Geometry, 1985, Proc. Symp. Pure Math.* **46** (1987), 247-282
- [13] B. Harris, Harmonic volumes. *Acta Math.* **150** (1-2) (1983), 91-123.
- [14] B. Harris, Homological versus algebraic equivalence in a Jacobian. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **80** (4i) (1983), 1157-1158.
- [15] B. Harris, *Iterated integrals and cycles on algebraic manifolds*. Nankai Tracts in Math. **7**, World Scientific Publishing Co. Inc., River Edge, 2004.
- [16] K. Kimura, On modified diagonal cycles in the triple products of Fermat quotients. *Math. Z.* **235** (2000), 727-746.
- [17] J. Morgan, The algebraic topology of smooth algebraic varieties. *Publ. Math. IHES* **48** (1978), 137-204.
- [18] N. Otsubo, On the regulator of Fermat motives and generalized hypergeometric functions. Preprint, arXiv:0909.3002v1 [math.NT].
- [19] M. J. Pulte, The fundamental group of a Riemann surface: Mixed Hodge structures and algebraic cycles. *Duke Math. J.* **57** (1988), no.3, 721-760.
- [20] D. E. Rohrlich, appendix to: B. H. Gross, On the Periods of abelian integrals and a formula of Chowla and Selberg. *Invent. Math.* **45** (1978), 193-211.
- [21] L. J. Slater, *Generalized hypergeometric functions*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1966).
- [22] H. P. F. Swinnerton-Dyer, The conjectures of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer, and of Tate. *Proc. Conf. Local Fields (Driebergen, 1966)*, Springer, Berlin, 1967, 132-157.
- [23] Y. Tadokoro, A nontrivial algebraic cycle in the Jacobian variety of the Klein quartic. *Math. Z.* **260** (2008), no.2, 265-275.
- [24] Y. Tadokoro, A nontrivial algebraic cycle in the Jacobian variety of the Fermat sextic. *Tsukuba J. Math.* **33** (2009), no.1, 29-38.
- [25] A. Weil, Jacobi sums as "Größencharaktere". *Trans. AMS* **73** (1952), 487-495.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, CHIBA UNIVERSITY, YAYOICHO 1-33, INAGE,
CHIBA, 263-8522 JAPAN

E-mail address: otsubo@math.s.chiba-u.ac.jp